



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/365,735	08/03/1999	ROBERT M. COOPER	06975/050001	2170
26171 7:	590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			HUYNH, SON P	
1425 K STREE 11TH FLOOR	1, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			2611	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			- 1 XV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	91
	09/365,735	COOPER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Son P Huynh	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M6 atute, cause the application to become a recommendation.	a reply be timely filed iirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 2 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 82-112 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 82-112 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 February 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	:)

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on July 16, 2004have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue "Alexander does not describer or suggest determining a local day-part appropriate for the geographic location and designating a content source from among the two or more content sources based upon the determined local day-part." on page 9 (third paragraph) of applicant's response. This argument is respectfully traversed. Alexander discloses providing content source to user based on user geographic location (viewer's zip code - col. 28, lines 12-21). Alexander additionally discloses channel slots are customized according to the day of the week and time of the day in accordance with the Viewer's Profile. For instance, if a particular viewer frequently watched Nick at Nite on weekday evenings from 7 pm to 10 pm, then the EPG automatically tunes the television between 7 pm and 10 pm, to the appropriate Nick at Nite channel as the first channel in the Grid Guide (col. 30, line 59-col. 31, line 7). By doing so, a local day-part (the time 7 pm –10 pm) appropriate for the geographic location (for a particular viewer's zip code) must be determined. In other words, the system must determine the time (the local day-part) appropriate for the geographic location (zip code) for at least one user. The claimed feature of "designating a content source from among the two or more

Art Unit: 2611

content sources based upon the determined local day-part" is met by designating Nick at Nite channel from among the two or more sources (e.g. source that provide Nick and Nite channel and source that provide ESPN channel – col. 30, line 60-col. 31, line 8) based upon the determined local day-part (e.g. 7 pm to 10 pm for particular viewer's zip code).

Applicants further argues that Alexander fails to address at least the "day-part" feature of claim 82 since Alexander discloses two different users having the same geographic location and the same day-part would be presented with two different EPGs based upon two different user profile on page 10 (second paragraph) of applicant's response.

In response, examiner agrees that Alexander discloses two different users having the same geographic location and the same day part would be presented with two different EGPs based upon two different user profiles. Since the user profile includes viewer's top favorite channels and viewer's favorite type of programs at particular time (col. 28, lines 12-22; col. 30, line 46-67), then the different sources provided to different users at the same geographic location is based on user's favorite channel, user's favorite type of programs. However, the day-part (for example, 7 pm to 10 pm) must be determined so that the user's favorite channel/type of program (e.g. Nick at Nite) is presented to a particular user.

More importantly, applicant should note that the claim does not require two different users to be presented with two different or same EPGs based upon two different user

Art Unit: 2611

profiles. In other words, applicant's arguments are not relevant to the claimed feature, and therefore applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

For reasons given above, rejection to claims 82-112 is maintained as repeatedly discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 82- 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alexander (US 6,177,931).

Regarding claim 82, Alexander discloses viewer profile includes viewer's zip code, times of day, and days of week during which the viewer watches television, etc. (col. 28, lines 11-52). Content from various sources (col. 8, lines 19-35) are distributed to viewer based on viewer's profile (col. 27, lines 3-5, col. 30, lines 45-67). The EPG is also customized according to the day of the week and the time of day in accordance with the

Art Unit: 2611

Viewer Profile (col. 30, line 45-67). If a program is on two channels, the system will select the best channel based on which of the two channels the viewer watches more often (col. 31, line 64+). Thus, the method comprising:

determining a geographic location of a user (determining viewer's zip code- col. 32, lines 4-21)

determining a local day part (determining weekday evenings from 7 pm to 10 pm – col. 30, line 62-col. 31, line 7) appropriate for the geographic location.

receiving content from two or more content sources (figure 1);

designating a content source from among the two or more content sources based upon the determined local day-part (col. 30, line 59+);

configuring a content display to feature content from the designated content source over content from other of the content sources;

presenting the content display to the user (col. 30, line 45+).

Regarding claim 83, Alexander teaches receiving content comprises receiving content from two or more broadcast sources (ABC, CBS, etc. – figure 1).

Regarding claim 84, Alexander teaches receiving content from tow or more broadcast sources comprises receiving content from two or more broadcast networks (cable, Internet, satellite services, etc. – col. 8, lines 30-35, col. 28, line 13+).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 85, Alexander teaches featuring content from the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display only content related to the designated content source (col. 30, line 60+).

Regarding claim 86, Alexander teaches featuring content from the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display a majority of content related to the designated content source (video and detail information of highlighted source display on screen - col. 30, line 60+ and figure 3).

Regarding claim 87, Alexander teaches featuring content from the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display content related to the designated content source in a prominent position on the content display relative to a position of content from one or more other content source (the selected source is highlighted, detail information of the highlighted source display PIP window- col. 30, line 60+ and figure 3).

Regarding claim 88, Alexander teaches featuring content from the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display content related to the designated content source in a prominent manner (highlighted) on the content display relative to a manner of content from one or more other content source (col. 30, line 60+ and figure 3).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 89, Alexander teaches the content includes content other than advertising content (TV program – figure 3).

Regarding claim 90, Alexander teaches the method further comprising: receiving one or more advertising segments corresponding to one or more of the content sources (col. 32, line 24+); identifying one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source (col. 33, lines 35-43); and configuring the content display to feature one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source over advertising segments from other of the content sources (figure 3, col. 33, line 35+).

Regarding claim 91, Alexander teaches featuring one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display only advertising segments related to the designated content source (col. 33, line 34+).

Regarding claim 92, Alexander teaches featuring one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display a majority of advertising segments related to the designated content source (col. 20, line 4+ and figure 10).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 93, Alexander teaches one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display advertising segments related to the designated content source in a prominent position on the content display relative to a position of content from one or more other content source (the selected ads is highlighted, detail information of the highlighted source display Ad window- col. 20, line 4+ and figure 10).

Regarding claim 94, Alexander teaches one or more advertising segments corresponding to the designated content source comprises configuring the content display to display advertising segments related to the designated content source in a prominent manner on the content display relative to a manner of content from one or more other content source (the selected ads is highlighted- col. 20, line 4+ and figure 10).

Regarding claim 95, Alexander discloses content is provided to viewer based on Viewer Profile and local day part of the viewer as discussed in the rejection of claim 82. Alexander further discloses multiple icons related to the highlighted program are displayed in the Guide. The icon provides viewer with the option of connecting to the Internet, e.g., to a particular on line chat about the highlighted program (col. 13, lines 35-45, col. 18, line 55+). Apparently, configuring a content display comprises configuring a communication utility based (chat) upon the determined local day part.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 96, Alexander teaches configuring a communications utility comprises configuring a chat room (col. 17, line 35+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 97-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander (US 6,177,931).

Claims 97-111 are directed toward embody the method of claims 82-96 respectively in "computer program store in computer readable medium". It would have been obvious to embody the procedures of Alexander as discussed with respect to claims 82-96 in a "computer program stored in computer readable medium" in order that the instructions could be automatically performed by a processor.

Regarding claim 112, the limitations as claimed correspond to the limitations of claim 97 and are analyzed as discussed in the rejection of claim 97.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yuen et al. (US 6,583,825 B1) teaches method and apparatus for transmitting and downloading setup information.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son P Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-1889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C Grant can be reached on 703-305-4755. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2611

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son P. Huynh November 15,2004

CHRIS GRANT
PRIMARY EXAMINER